

Investment Strategy Outlook

AUGUST 2026

THE ECONOMY


Running Faster To Stay In Place?

The initial estimate from the Bureau of Economic Analysis (BEA) shows real GDP grew at an annual rate of 1.5 percent in Q2. There is, however, more to Q2 growth than meets the eye. A wider trade deficit than that seen in Q1 knocked a full percentage point off top-line real GDP growth, while a much larger drawdown in nonfarm business inventories than that seen in Q1 knocked another seven-tenths of a percentage point off top-line growth. At the same time, real private domestic demand – combined business fixed investment, residential fixed investment, and consumer spending – grew at an annual rate of 3.9 percent in Q2 after adjusting for price changes, the fastest quarterly growth rate since Q1 2023. This suggests a far more robust pace of economic activity than implied by the headline real GDP growth print. Of the two measures, we routinely point to changes in real private domestic demand as a far more useful guide to the health of the U.S. economy.

Real consumer spending grew at an annual rate of 3.2 percent, a nice bounce back from the tepid 0.5 percent pace logged in Q1. Real business fixed investment grew at an annual rate of 8.4 percent in Q2 after having grown at a 10.6 percent rate in Q1, with real business outlays on equipment and machinery growing at a 15.2 percent rate and real spending on intellectual property products, the vast majority of which consists of computer software and R&D outlays, growing at an annual rate of 8.8 percent. Spending on intellectual property products is an early indicator of trends in labor productivity growth, and much of the spending on equipment and machinery is also setting the stage for faster productivity growth down the road. There are, however, lingering soft spots in real private domestic demand. For instance, real business spending on structures contracted at an annual rate of 5.0 percent in Q2, the tenth consecutive quarterly contraction. And, while real residential fixed investment grew at a 1.5 percent rate in Q2 after five straight quarterly contractions, that growth was more than accounted for by a faster pace of single family construction which seems highly unlikely to be sustained over the back half of 2026.

At the same time, we'd argue that even what were interpreted as drags on Q2 real GDP growth are to some degree setting the stage for faster growth over coming quarters. Recall that imports of goods and services into the U.S. are treated as drags on GDP growth, but this is somewhat misleading as a sizable share of goods imports are either raw materials or intermediate goods used in the production of final consumer or capital goods. Historically, that share has hovered around fifty percent, but over the past two quarters that share was fifty-nine percent. Though treated as drags on current quarter GDP growth upon reaching the U.S., these imports are actually contributing to growth down the road, particularly to the extent that imported capital goods

Rapid Revenue Growth Feeding Bottom Lines



Source: Bureau of Economic Analysis; Regions Economics Division

ultimately contribute to faster labor productivity growth.

Additionally, though to some extent simply reversing the spike seen in early 2025 in response to sweeping changes in U.S. trade policy, the steady drawdown in nonfarm business inventories can also be sending a signal about underlying economic growth. We think this is particularly the case in the manufacturing sector, with a steady and sizable drawdown in inventories coinciding with strengthening business investment spending and the improvement in conditions in the manufacturing sector. With manufacturers seeing steady growth in new orders and rising backlogs of unfilled orders, it is reasonable to expect growth in employment and output in the manufacturing sector in the months ahead.

So, while the top-line growth print was not all that inspiring, the details of the Q2 GDP data paint a picture of a more vigorous pace of economic activity. There is, however, one element of the Q2 GDP data that has not gotten much attention, which is what remains a wide gap between growth in real, i.e., adjusted for price changes, and nominal, i.e., measured in current prices, GDP. In a sense, this seems like old news as it is simply another way to illustrate the extent to which faster inflation has been impacting the economy. Whether to consumers paying higher prices for final goods and services or to businesses paying higher prices for inputs and services, this has for some time been a source of considerable frustration.

To that point, while real GDP grew at an annual rate of 1.5 percent in Q2, nominal GDP grew at a 7.9 percent rate, with the gap between the two reflecting the rate at which prices rose during the quarter. Though not to the extent seen in Q2, the spread between real and nominal growth has been considerably wider in the post-pandemic years than had been the case prior to the pandemic. For instance, the average spread between growth in nominal and real GDP over the fifteen years prior to the pandemic was 1.84 percent, never larger than 3.90 percent.

Since 2021, however, the average spread is 4.43 percent, with a high of 9.41 percent in Q2 2022. Again, to the extent that it reflects the pace at which prices have risen, the yawning gap between nominal and real growth over the past five-plus years is not at all consistent with the FOMC's 2.0 percent inflation target.

At the same time, however, the sustained rapid growth in nominal GDP helps account for what has been exceptional growth in corporate profits over recent years, which to some is hard to square with real GDP growth having fallen back into the pre-pandemic trend rate of around two percent. Growth in nominal GDP is generally seen as a proxy for growth in business revenue, but keep in mind that GDP includes business inventories, making it a less than perfect proxy for top-line business revenue. Stripping inventories out of GDP yields the metric known as final sales of domestic product (final sales), a truer reflection of total business revenue. The point remains the same, though, as real final sales grew at an annual rate of 2.2 percent in Q2 while nominal final sales grew at an 8.7 percent rate.

To our point about the gap between real and nominal growth being significantly wider in the post-pandemic years than had previously been the case, as of Q2 real final sales were just over fifteen percent higher than in Q4 2019 while nominal final sales were forty-nine percent higher. Think of the growing gap between nominal and real final sales as the cumulative increase in prices over recent years. Still, while final sales illustrate rapid growth in total revenue, that is only half the story when it comes to growth in profits, and just as consumers have faced rapidly rising prices over recent years, businesses have contended with rapidly rising input prices, with the absorption of tariffs and higher energy prices adding fuel to an already burning fire. The data on corporate profits, however, show that even in the face of rapidly rising input prices, corporate profits have continued to grow at a notably rapid pace. Growth in both before-tax and after-tax profits, as measured in the GDP data, accelerated sharply over the back half of 2025, and each grew at a double-digit pace in Q1 2026 (the BEA's Q2 profit data will not be

released until later this month).

Note that the measure of profits in the GDP data covers a much wider universe of firms than the more commonly followed S&P 500 measure of profits. Though obviously the rate of growth varies across industry groups, profit growth has nonetheless been broadly based which, in turn, has been reflected in equity valuations. Keep in mind, however, that the flip side of inflation moving back to, or at least closer to, the FOMC's 2.0 percent target rate is slower revenue growth. To that point, in the fifteen years prior to the pandemic average annual growth in (nominal) final sales of domestic product was 3.9 percent, compared with average annual growth of 7.5 percent from 2021 through 2025, with growth set to top that pace in 2026.

One reason investors are so focused on corporate guidance on revenue and costs is that almost no one sees recent rates of revenue growth as being sustainable for much longer, making cost control a more critical driver of profit growth. Still, even with effective cost controls profit growth is likely to be slower, perhaps meaningfully so, going forward than has been the case over recent years. To be sure, whether, to what extent, and how inflation slows – consumers no longer being able/willing to accept further price increases, a downturn in the broader economy, significant efficiency gains brought about by AI and faster labor productivity growth – will be a key determinant of how profits fare. To follow up on an earlier point, the only way that more rapid growth in nominal GDP/nominal final sales is consistent with the FOMC's 2.0 percent inflation target is if there is a meaningful and sustained increase in the trend rate of real growth, which still seems trapped at around a two percent pace. This is the promise offered by AI and faster labor productivity growth, but we're not there yet, and at this point no one knows how close we'll get and when we'll get there. Barring that, however, the rapid growth in top-line revenue seen over recent years will at some point give way to more measured growth.

Sources: Bureau of Economic Analysis

STOCKS

Earnings Impressive, But A Challenging Seasonal Stretch, Mid-term Elections Loom


July failed to live up to its historical billing as a positive month for stocks as U.S. equity indices struggled amid flaring tensions in the Middle East, monetary policy uncertainty stemming from the FOMC's more hawkish tone in June, and fears of increased AI competition coming from China. The S&P 500 fell by less than 0.1% on a total return basis during the month as weakness in the information technology sector weighed on the index, with the sector posting a -3.4% monthly return as semiconductor and memory stocks fell sharply as capital rotated into relative value plays. Encouragingly, the equally weighted S&P 500 gained 1% in July and beneath the surface we view breadth as constructive. At the sector level, consumer staples, energy, financials, health care, and real estate all finished the month with gains of 2% or more. This transition highlights the importance of diversification and the potential pitfalls of concentrating portfolios in one sector and/or crowding into recent winners as lost momentum can lead to heightened volatility and unsettling drawdowns if risk isn't managed appropriately.

With approximately 89% of the S&P 500 having posted quarterly results at the time of this writing, year-over-year earnings growth was tracking at a jaw-dropping 51% - more than double the 21.9% growth expected at the end of June. However, the S&P 500's reaction to this awe-inspiring growth has left much to be desired, evidenced by the

index ending July effectively unchanged. This is largely a function of just how ridiculously high the bar for companies closely associated with the AI buildout was set coming into this earnings season, but market participants also appear increasingly skeptical that sales growth and/or profit margins can improve from here. Much of the weakness in many of the high-flying stocks associated with the buildout and adoption of AI during July appears to have been a byproduct of overcrowding and poor risk management/usage of leverage, which resulted in forced selling both stateside and in Korea. The July shakeout will likely prove to be a healthy event as it removes 'froth' and resets sentiment and positioning. To us, there are minimal signposts to suggest this is a burst of any perceived 'AI bubble.'

The S&P 500 has historically struggled to make gains in the August-September timeframe in the 'average' year, and in midterm election years, such as 2026, lackluster performance from the back-half of August through mid-October has become the norm, with classically defensive sectors such as consumer staples, health care, and utilities often leading an otherwise unimpressive tape. Following midterms, we tend to see portfolio re-risking and a rally into year-end as market participants digest the new balance of power in Washington D.C. While U.S. equity indices have certainly acquitted themselves quite well thus

S&P 500 Earnings Grew 51% Year Over Year In Q2



Source: Bloomberg

Past performance is not indicative of future results.

far in August, we expect geopolitical and monetary policy uncertainty to remain elevated as market participants digest Middle East headlines and a more uncertain path forward for both Fed communications and monetary policy, and with earnings season winding down and the calendar turning less kind for stocks later this month, risk appetite could remain subdued for a bit longer. However, impressive earnings results and signs that hyperscalers are already generating an ROI on AI investments leave us constructive on the outlook for capex spending. We are of the view that material downside for the S&P 500 is unlikely to materialize so long as the Mag 7 is garnering inflows and acting as a stabilizing force for U.S. indices.

Developed Markets Quietly Building Momentum As Cyclical Exposure Helps Diversify AI. Weak price action in July held back the tech-centric NASDAQ and the adjacent semiconductor stocks in emerging markets, but the foreign-developed MSCI EAFE index played valuable defense last month, rising by 2.0% while broader equities struggled. The resurgence in international equities brought the index back to all-time highs after nearly 6-months of consolidation with the prior peak coming in February just before the Iran conflict kicked off. Investors seem more

focused on valuations after shakeouts in more volatile growth and AI-related segments of the global equity market increased the demand for stability. That preference in investor positioning suggests that should a broader sell-off in thematic stocks tethered to artificial intelligence crop up again, the haven trade could be in foreign equities known for their consistent cashflows. From a technical perspective, new highs are an overt positive, especially when taking that price action alongside improving breadth and above-average fundamentals. Developed international equities still appear primed to serve as a worthwhile stabilizer in portfolios, even if they don't carry the same earnings growth power evident in AI-related technology companies.

Emerging Market Rotation And Currency Strength A Positive Signal—But Momentum May Not Immediately Return. Technology tailwinds turned against global equities last month and leaders became laggards as emerging market equities were some of the hardest hit in July, with the MSCI Korea index declining by 23.7%, finishing the month in a 29.3% drawdown. Tech hardware struggled broadly as concerns surfaced around AI Cap-Ex and how more efficient models might dampen semiconductor company profits, but this was exasperated by the rampant leverage in South Korea that unwound in July. That deleveraging was tough on concentrated investors, but the broader MSCI EM index was only lower by 3%, as the old guard carried the mantle with China, Brazil, and India partially offsetting substantial losses in Korea and Taiwan. The MSCI China index specifically had its best month since September, as recent AI model developments reminded investors that Chinese companies are going to push for market share by launching lower cost alternatives to the domestic frontier AI models. Technically speaking, the recent momentum in China hasn't been enough to turn around its declining primary trend, as the MSCI China index remains 2.8% below its 200-day moving average. Emerging equities got cleared out last month, but this does not guarantee a V-shaped recovery, as momentum traders may be slow to rush back into high-flying semiconductors as the toll of leverage is fresh in investor psyche. ▲

BONDS

The 'New Vigilantes' Could Test The FOMC's Inflation Fighting Resolve

In the early 1980's, famed strategist Ed Yardeni coined the phrase "bond vigilantes" to describe a prominent and vocal cadre of fixed income market participants selling U.S. government bonds in response to what they viewed as inflationary and/or fiscally irresponsible policies. Selling from the 'vigilantes' led to a sharp move higher in Treasury yields and a commensurate selloff in bond prices, with the 30-year U.S. Treasury yield jumping from around 10.4% at the end of 1982 to 13.6% by June of 1984 as investors pushed back on fiscal spending plans. The selloff in longer maturity bonds increased borrowing costs and forced Congress to pass deficit-reduction legislation later that year and the 30-year bond yield retraced the entirety of the move higher by June of '85.

Fast forward 40-plus years, and while the absolute level of Treasury yields is a far cry from the absolute levels seen in the early 80's, the U.S. government's annual budget deficit is projected to be over 10X greater this year than it was in 1984. The U.S. government's debt is now just shy of \$40 trillion and with rumblings of downgrades to its credit rating growing louder, fixed income investors both at home and abroad are requiring greater compensation in the form of higher yields to buy U.S.


government bonds. Deep pocketed investors with long-dated liabilities will at some point find it difficult to ignore higher yields, but until the recent bout of volatility in the Treasury market subsides and prices start to stabilize, they may drag their feet.

Treasury yields could remain volatile with an upward bias in the lead-up to midterm elections in early November, particularly if the FOMC fails to back up its tough talk on inflation with action when it meets next month. Market participants initially took FOMC Chair Kevin Warsh's comments following the Committee's June meeting as 'hawkish,' which led to a flattening of the yield curve as short-term rates rose sharply. But nearly two months after that meeting, market participants, i.e. "the new vigilantes," appear more willing to press their bets and challenge the new Chair's resolve when it comes to fighting inflation. In the lead-up to the FOMC's late-July meeting, the Fed funds futures market placed the odds of a rate hike prior to September at 100%, but following Warsh's post-meeting press conference those odds fell to around 60% as he noted that the bond market had done some of the FOMC's work for it by pushing short-term rates higher and tightening financial conditions.

Historically, it has been the equity market that has often challenged new Fed chairs, but this time around, U.S. equity indices are at all-time highs, and it is the bond market and rising yields that could force the Committee's hand.

In early August, the U.S. and Japan took steps to backstop the Japanese yen, easing concerns surrounding Japan potentially needing to sell U.S. government bonds to prop up its currency. The move spurred a rally in long-term Treasuries, but Japan will need to take steps to adjust both fiscal and monetary policies to prevent the yen from weakening relative to the U.S. dollar again, with a rate hike out of the Bank of Japan a likely first step in the months to come. Japan no longer being a forced seller of Treasuries in the near-term, combined with energy prices moving lower amid hopes of de-escalation in the Middle East, could take some pressure off Treasury yields. However, the rally in long bonds to kick off August could prove to be little more than an oversold bounce, and we remain of the opinion that downside for yields will be limited as both variables could easily reverse course and take a less constructive turn for higher quality, longer duration bonds. We've been a broken record this year, but with inflation sticky and the path forward for monetary policy increasingly murky, we want to maintain exposures in-line with our strategic weights as we look for volatility in rates to remain elevated and create opportunities to tactically adjust portfolios.

30-Year Treasury Yield Breaks Out To Two Decade High



Source: Bloomberg

Past performance is not indicative of future results.

Rising Yields Create Greater Chance For Carry In High Yield And Emerging Market Bonds. The grind higher in U.S. treasury yields for the better part of the year thus far has left many fixed income investors underwater in 2026, but diversification has dulled that pain for investors willing to go beyond core fixed income. Of

the primary bond subsectors we track, only the high yield index and emerging market debt index have been able to generate a positive total return year to date through July. These segments may not be cheaper than where they started the year from a valuation standpoint, but both subsets now offer meaningfully higher income, one of the most powerful drivers of total return. Take the Bloomberg U.S. High Yield Corporate Index which now offers a 7.4% yield-to-worst, nearly 100bps higher than the 6.5% yield it carried at the start of the year. Emerging market bonds have seen a similar boost, with the index yield-to-worst at 6.3% versus 5.7% at the start of the year. The uptick in yield improves expected total return and is likely to create a ceiling on how high valuations can get as buyers will be more willing to step in at higher yields. Emerging market bonds specifically have seen spreads continue to grind tighter as emerging economies strengthen and their currencies continue to push higher in a vote of confidence. Any hints of cheapness could present a buying opportunity, but for now we believe the carry in emerging markets is 'paying us to wait' for a chance to increase exposure should dislocations occur. ▲



Non-Deposit Products including Investments, Securities, Mutual Funds, Insurance Products, Crypto Assets, and Annuities

Are Not FDIC Insured | Are Not a Deposit | Are Not Bank Guaranteed | May Lose Value

Are Not a Condition of Any Banking Activity | Are Not Insured by Any Government Entity

© 2026 Regions Bank, Member FDIC. Only bank deposits are FDIC insured. This publication has been prepared by the staff Regions Asset Management for distribution to, among others, Regions Wealth Management clients. Regions Asset Management is a business group within Regions Bank that provides investment management services to customers of Regions Bank. The information and material contained herein is provided solely for general information purposes. This material is not intended to be investment advice nor is this information intended as an offer or solicitation for the purchase or sale of any security or other financial instrument. Any opinions expressed herein are given in good faith, are subject to change without notice, and are only current as of the stated date of their issue. Certain sections of this publication contain forward-looking statements that are based on the reasonable expectations, estimates, projections and assumptions of the authors, but forward-looking statements are not guarantees of future performance and involve risks and uncertainties, which are difficult to predict. Investment ideas and strategies presented may not be suitable for all investors. No responsibility or liability is assumed by Regions Bank, its parent company, its subsidiaries or its affiliates for any loss that may directly or indirectly result from use of information, commentary or opinions in this publication by you or any other person. The content and any portion of this newsletter is for personal use only and may not be reprinted, sold or redistributed without the written consent of Regions Bank. Regions, the Regions logo and other Regions marks are trademarks of Regions Bank. The names and marks of other companies or their services or products may be the trademarks of their owners and are used only to identify such companies or their services or products and not to indicate endorsement or sponsorship of Regions or its services or products. Employees of Regions Asset Management may have positions in securities or their derivatives that may be mentioned in this report or in their personal accounts. Additionally, affiliated companies may hold positions in the mentioned companies in their portfolios or strategies. The companies mentioned specifically are sample companies, noted for illustrative purposes only. The mention of the companies should not be construed as a recommendation to buy, hold or sell positions in your investment portfolio. Neither Regions Bank, Regions Wealth Management, Regions Asset Management, Multi-Asset Solutions nor any affiliates or subsidiaries of Regions Bank (collectively, "Regions") are registered municipal advisors nor provide advice to municipal entities or obligated persons with respect to municipal financial products or the issuance of municipal securities (including regarding the structure, timing, terms and similar matters concerning municipal financial products or municipal securities issuances) or engage in the solicitation of municipal entities or obligated persons for such services. With respect to this presentation and any other information, materials or communications provided by Regions, (a) Regions is not recommending an action to any municipal entity or obligated person, (b) Regions is not acting as an advisor to any municipal entity or obligated person and does not owe a fiduciary duty pursuant to Section 15B of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 to any municipal entity or obligated person with respect to such presentation, information, materials or communications, (c) Regions is acting for its own interests, and (d) you should discuss this presentation and any such other information, materials or communications with any and all internal and external advisors and experts that you deem appropriate before acting on this presentation or any such other information, materials or communications. Bloomberg Index Services Limited. BLOOMBERG is a trademark and service mark of Bloomberg Finance L.P. and its affiliates (collectively "Bloomberg"). Bloomberg or Bloomberg's licensors own all proprietary rights in the Bloomberg Indices. Bloomberg does not approve or endorse this material or guarantee the accuracy or completeness of any information herein, nor does Bloomberg make any warranty, express or implied, as to the results to be obtained therefrom, and, to the maximum extent allowed by law, Bloomberg shall not have any liability or responsibility for injury or damages arising in connection therewith.