

Investment Strategy Outlook

JUNE 2026

THE ECONOMY

U.S. Economy Resilient, But Not Immune...


The U.S. economy has proven to be more resilient than many would have anticipated in early March, at the start of the conflict with Iran. Consumer spending has held its own, business investment spending continues to grow at a robust pace, conditions in the manufacturing sector continue to improve, and the labor market seems to be on firmer footing than it was earlier in the year. To be sure, the housing market remains a glaring weakness, particularly with the increase in mortgage interest rates seen since the start of the conflict. Moreover, inflation has reaccelerated, and while the obvious catalyst is the spike in energy prices that have pushed headline inflation meaningfully higher, the reality is that by their own preferred measure, core inflation has been above the FOMC's 2.0 percent target for five straight months.

Perhaps the changes in economic conditions and perceptions of the U.S. economy are best captured in the dramatic change in expectations for the path of the Fed funds rate. Earlier this year, analysts and market participants were debating how many times the FOMC would cut the Fed funds rate as they rode to the rescue of the labor market. By the end of the first week of June, that debate had shifted to how many times the FOMC would hike the Fed funds rate to help quell stubborn inflation pressures. Still, just as we sat out the debate earlier in the year, we're sitting out the current debate. We came into this year thinking there was very little room for the FOMC to move, and we think that remains the case despite the world around us looking very different than it did at the start of 2026.

To say the economy has been resilient in the face of jumps in energy prices and interest rates since the start of the conflict is not to say the economy has been immune. In particular, higher prices have weighed on real growth, as we discussed in last month's edition. One way to see this is to trace the path of our baseline forecasts of 2026 GDP growth over the past several months. Since our February forecast of 2.7 percent growth, our forecast of full-year 2026 real GDP growth has come down in each successive month and, as of our June forecast, stands at 2.0 percent. As our forecasts of real GDP growth have been marked down, our forecasts of nominal GDP growth have been marked steadily higher, the divergence reflecting the extent to which our inflation forecasts have been revised higher.

Simply stated, higher prices mean that each additional

2026 Real GDP Growth:
Blue Chip Consensus vs. Regions Baseline



Source: Blue Chip Economic Indicators; Regions Economics Division

dollar of spending at current prices translates into a smaller increase in spending after adjusting for prices, i.e., real spending. One way to think of it is having to run faster and faster (nominal spending) just to stay in the same place (real spending). Thus far, consumers have been able to spend at the faster pace dictated by rising prices thanks to a number of supports. One is that aggregate labor earnings, the largest block of personal income, continue to grow at a rate faster than inflation. Consumers have also benefitted from meaningfully larger income tax refunds this year than those seen last year, while continued increases in equity prices have helped sustain the wealth effects that are supporting spending amongst upper income households. Additionally, a steadily declining saving rate suggests consumers are dipping into savings to help keep pace with rising prices.

Still, there is cause for concern. The cushion from income tax refunds is being rapidly pared down and consumers could easily reach the point where they're inclined to cut back on spending rather than further deplete savings. At the same time, faster inflation means the gap between growth in labor earnings and inflation is much narrower at present than had been the case, meaning less support for real spending growth. That support would be further weakened by a deterioration in labor market conditions. Concerns over the labor market, however, have abated considerably, in stark contrast to earlier this year. It is striking that while earlier this year many were pointing to the labor market as grounds for the FOMC to make further cuts to the Fed funds rate, in the wake of the May employment report many are now pointing to the labor market as giving the FOMC the latitude to raise the funds rate to combat stubborn inflation pressures.

Total nonfarm payrolls rose by 172,000 jobs in May, more than double the consensus forecast, with private sector payrolls up by 120,000 jobs and public sector payrolls up by 52,000 jobs. At the same time, prior estimates of job growth in March and April were revised upward by a net 93,000 jobs for the two-month period, and the unemployment rate held at 4.3 percent. In the wake of the release of the report, yields on U.S. Treasuries moved higher across the entire yield curve, and a 25-basis point increase in the Fed funds rate by year-end was more than fully priced into the futures market, a reaction which we find more than a bit baffling. Indeed, our take on the May employment report, and the reaction to it, is that seldom have we seen so much made out of so little.

The details of the data support our take. May's increase in public sector payrolls was driven by payrolls in local government excluding education rising by 43,500 jobs, while the increase in private sector payrolls was driven by payrolls in leisure and hospitality services rising by 70,000 jobs. What the two have in common is that they were largely driven by hiring ahead of the World Cup, with local governments taking on workers to help with things such security and infrastructure and businesses, particularly restaurants, adding staff in anticipation of higher customer traffic. To the extent this was the case, it follows that once the World Cup is over, payrolls in these segments will go back to where they would have otherwise been. In addition to the outsized increase in payrolls in leisure and hospitality services, healthcare payrolls rose by 47,200 jobs in May, with these two segments almost fully accounting for the increase in private sector payrolls.

All but lost in the hype over the headline job growth numbers over the past few months is that, despite the big headline prints, there has been strikingly little growth in aggregate private sector hours worked, which is what matters for output growth. To that point, despite the gain of 120,000 jobs, aggregate private sector hours were basically flat in May. In part, this is compositional, as job gains were concentrated in leisure and hospitality services and health care, industry groups in which weekly hours are well below the private sector average. That aggregate hours were flat means that the sizable increase in private sector payrolls did little to advance output growth in May while also blunting the

increase in aggregate private sector wage and salary earnings.

Gains in household employment over the past several months have lagged gains in payroll employment by a wide margin, and that the unemployment rate has been basically flat is a testament to how tepid growth in the labor force has been. To some extent, this reflects the ongoing decline in the foreign born labor force, which we've been pointing to since late-2024 as a potentially powerful drag on job growth. Additionally, even though the layoff rate remains below pre-pandemic norms, those who do lose a job are having a harder time finding another, which is reflected in the rising duration of unemployment and what, excluding the pandemic period, is now the highest level of long-term unemployment (27 weeks or more) since 2016.

Our view of the labor market has not changed for quite some time. Just as earlier in the year we were pushing back on the dour takes on labor market conditions, we now find ourselves pushing back on the narrative that the labor market is again firing on all cylinders. We've consistently pointed to supply side and demand side factors holding down job growth and have argued that what will be notably slow trend job growth will nonetheless be sufficient to keep the unemployment rate stable if not slightly lower. If and when we have grounds to change our view, we will, but the May employment report doesn't come close to warranting that, let alone providing a rationale for the FOMC to hike the Fed funds rate.

While such a move may come at some point, it won't come at this month's FOMC meeting. As noted above, as measured by the PCE Deflator, core inflation has been at least one hundred basis points above the FOMC's 2.0 percent target rate for five straight months (the May data will make that six straight months). Moreover, indicators of early-stage price pressures, such as the Producer Price Index, show intensifying upward pressures on costs for producing and shipping goods that figure to, at least in part, ultimately show up in measures of core inflation on the consumer level. Clearly, there will be no "easing in" period for new Fed Chair Warsh but, that said, the only "news" likely to come out of this month's FOMC meeting is the Committee dropping the implicit easing bias from their post-meeting policy statement, a move that seems well overdue.

Sources: Bureau of Economic Analysis; Bureau of Labor Statistics; U.S. Census Bureau

STOCKS

'Momentum,' Fundamentals Supportive, But On The Lookout For A Mid-Year Lull

U.S. equity indices followed up April's rally by tacking on sizable gains in May, with the S&P 500 rising 5.2% during the month behind strength out of AI-related names - primarily semiconductor stocks. Impressively, the broader index was higher by 11.2% year-to-date on a total return basis through May after a trough-to-peak move of 19.7% off the late March low. The fundamental underpinning of U.S. stocks, i.e. the earnings outlook, continued to brighten throughout May with earnings season providing market participants with ample reasons to remain allocated to U.S. stocks despite the proverbial 'wall of worry' equity markets continue to climb.

At the end of March, as the U.S./Iran conflict drug on and the potential long-term impacts it could have on the energy market and crude oil prices dominated discussions, while the consensus estimate for full year 2026 earnings per share (EPS) out of the S&P 500 index sat at \$323. But with both trailing results and forward guidance surprising to the upside as quarterly reporting season ramped up in mid-April, the '26 EPS estimate moved to \$333 at the end of April and continued to rise in May, ending last month at \$339. Upward revisions of this magnitude in such a short period of time have historically occurred at the start of economic cycles, not in the middle or toward the end of an economic

S&P 500 Profits Grew By Over 12% Y/Y in 1Q26; Looks ‘Early Cycle,’ Not Late



Source: Bloomberg

Past performance is not indicative of future results.

expansion. But this time around sell-side prognosticators are coming around to the idea that this economic cycle could very well be different due to the mania and frenzy surrounding the buildout of AI-related infrastructure.

With earnings estimates moving markedly higher in recent months, the S&P 500 closed out the month of May trading at 22.5 times projected 2026 earnings, which is lofty by historical standards. However, once we pass the midpoint of this year market participants will begin to shift their focus to the 2027 outlook for profits and this pivot could be a source of further upside for U.S. large cap stocks as the current 2027 EPS estimate of \$390 leaves the S&P 500 trading at 19.5 times next year’s estimate. While not part of our base-case scenario, assuming the move lower in crude oil prices in late May is sustained and keeps a lid on interest rates, the S&P 500’s current price-to-earnings multiple could even expand modestly from here, in our view.

U.S. small and mid-cap (SMid) stocks have also seen earnings estimates move higher in the past couple of months, albeit not to the same degree as the S&P 500, and lower energy costs and falling interest rates will provide tailwinds for smaller companies to varying degrees. However, smaller capitalization stocks could see tougher sledding as the initial public offering (IPO) window

opens this month with SpaceX set to go public, and given SMid is a higher beta cohort of stocks that have done well of late, some high flyers in these indices could see profit taking as investors look to fund allocations to newly public investments. Also worth watching, should U.S. economic growth hit a soft patch as is common in the lead-up to midterm elections, these stocks could experience relative weakness as investors turn to higher quality, dividend paying names in the S&P 500 as they ride out heightened economic and/or political uncertainty.

One blemish that continues to be fodder for market bears is the narrow breadth off the late March lows, as outside of the information technology sector returns at the sector-level have largely been unspectacular. The factor leadership profile also gives us pause as ‘momentum,’ and high beta names have led while ‘quality’ factors have lagged materially. While this is a sign of healthy investor risk appetite, it’s also potentially worrisome as ‘momentum’ can be fickle. Just as positive momentum can propel prices higher, a loss of momentum can lead to a sizable and unsettling drawdown in a short period of time as renters of these stocks look to sell early once the trend rolls over and reallocate capital into the next best thing. Another variable worth watching in the next few months is a potential flurry of IPOs to see how orderly markets digest these sizable, high-profile new issues. On balance, we see the earnings outlook and positive momentum behind AI-beneficiaries offsetting narrow breadth and liquidity concerns surrounding the wave of IPOs, but after such a sizable move off the March lows, a midyear lull and a period of consolidation would likely be healthy and set U.S. equities up on firmer footing for a year-end rally following the midterms in November. ▲

Momentum, High Beta Outshining Quality, Dividend Growth

	YTD Return	1-Year Return	10-Year Return
Beta	28.7%	72.2%	15.6%
Momentum	26.6%	44.4%	23.7%
Quality	14.1%	22.9%	14.6%
Div Growth	7.2%	20.4%	10.6%

Source: Bloomberg

Past performance is not indicative of future results.

BONDS

Still Stuck Between A Rock And A Hard Place

Fixed income investors received a welcome reprieve from the relentless rise in rates as hopes for a U.S./Iran deal forced crude oil prices lower late last month, and that rally in the final days of May allowed the Bloomberg U.S. Aggregate (Agg) Bond index to eke out a 0.3% monthly gain. Investors in higher quality bonds have encountered tough sledding ever since yields bottomed in late February as a rapid rise in energy prices has left inflation expectations in-flux and kept sustained upward pressure on longer-term Treasury yields. The benchmark Bloomberg U.S. Aggregate (Agg) Bond index, which is entirely investment-grade, closed out May with a meager 0.4% year-to-date gain, due largely to the fact that the Agg is dominated by U.S. Treasuries and various government-related bonds, which in total account for just over 50% of the index.


Energy prices fell into month-end amid hopes the Strait of Hormuz would reopen and shipping traffic would normalize in the near term, which took some of the upward pressure off the long end of the Treasury curve as market participants inferred that inflation expectations would likely moderate. However, we don’t expect yields to fall much from here as even a sustained drop in energy prices and inflation expectations would likely result in an upward bias to expectations for economic growth, both in the U.S. and abroad, thus keeping yields anchored near current levels.

Treasuries and higher quality bonds broadly remain valuable components of a diversified fixed income portfolio, but these bonds often carry longer duration profiles and greater interest rate sensitivity. As a result, persistent volatility

in prices of energy and other commodities – a reasonable base-case given the ongoing stalemate in the Middle East - could contribute to heightened volatility and potentially price declines for these bonds. As a result of this view, we currently maintain exposures in-line with our strategic long-term target exposures to higher quality investment grade, U.S. high yield, international developed market sovereigns and emerging market debt as we see upside risks to yields across the board and desire to keep our powder dry as we look for dislocations at the sub-asset class level.

Given the U.S. High Yield index carries a yield-to-worst of almost 7%, and with inflation unlikely to move much lower in the near-term, the asset class is likely to continue garnering interest from investors seeking income in excess of inflation to preserve purchasing power. However, credit spreads are unlikely to move much lower from here with a more uncertain outlook for the U.S. and global economy in place, thus limiting total return potential from this segment of the fixed income market. We maintain an allocation to high yield bonds in-line with our strategic benchmark as we don't envision a sizable near-term uptick in defaults, but expectations should be tempered and we are still looking for a coupon-minus return over the balance of this year. ▲

Potential For Energy-Induced Inflation Driving Treasury Yields



Source: Bloomberg
Past performance is not indicative of future results.

Still Relatively Constructive On Credit, But Expectations Should Be Tempered. Higher quality corporate bonds have fared a bit better than Treasuries year-to-date, with the Bloomberg U.S. Corporate index rising 0.7% through May as historically tight credit spreads have been offset by the move higher in Treasury yields in the 5-to-10-year portion of the yield curve. Through May, high yield corporate issues had outperformed investment-grade corporates by 1% year-to-date, and the credit spread over the Treasury curve closed out the month at 257-basis points, 72-bps below the widest level seen in late March and just 9-bps above the tightest levels seen this year.



Non-Deposit Products including Investments, Securities, Mutual Funds, Insurance Products, Crypto Assets, and Annuities
 Are Not FDIC Insured | Are Not a Deposit | Are Not Bank Guaranteed | May Lose Value
 Are Not a Condition of Any Banking Activity | Are Not Insured by Any Government Entity

© 2026 Regions Bank, Member FDIC. Only bank deposits are FDIC insured. This publication has been prepared by the staff Regions Asset Management for distribution to, among others, Regions Wealth Management clients. Regions Asset Management is a business group within Regions Bank that provides investment management services to customers of Regions Bank. The information and material contained herein is provided solely for general information purposes. This material is not intended to be investment advice nor is this information intended as an offer or solicitation for the purchase or sale of any security or other financial instrument. Any opinions expressed herein are given in good faith, are subject to change without notice, and are only current as of the date of this publication. Forward-looking statements that are based on the reasonable expectations, estimates, projections and assumptions of the authors, but forward-looking statements are not guarantees of future performance and involve risks and uncertainties, which are difficult to predict. Investment ideas and strategies presented may not be suitable for all investors. No responsibility or liability is assumed by Regions Bank, its parent company, its subsidiaries or its affiliates for any loss that may directly or indirectly result from use of information, commentary or opinions in this publication by you or any other person. The content and any portion of this newsletter is for personal use only and may not be reprinted, sold or redistributed without the written consent of Regions Bank. Regions, the Regions logo and other Regions marks are trademarks of Regions Bank. The names and marks of other companies or their services or products may be the trademarks of their owners and are used only to identify such companies or their services or products and not to indicate endorsement or sponsorship of Regions or its services or products. Employees of Regions Asset Management may have positions in securities or their derivatives that may be mentioned in this report or in their personal accounts. Additionally, affiliated companies may hold positions in the mentioned companies in their portfolios or strategies. The companies mentioned specifically are sample companies, noted for illustrative purposes only. The mention of the companies should not be construed as a recommendation to buy, hold or sell positions in your investment portfolio. Neither Regions Bank, Regions Wealth Management, Regions Asset Management, Multi-Asset Solutions nor any affiliates or subsidiaries of Regions Bank (collectively, "Regions") are registered municipal advisors nor provide advice to municipal entities or obligated persons with respect to municipal financial products or the issuance of municipal securities (including regarding the structure, timing, terms and similar matters concerning municipal financial products or municipal securities issuances) or engage in the solicitation of municipal entities or obligated persons for such services. With respect to this presentation and any other information, materials or communications provided by Regions, (a) Regions is not recommending an action to any municipal entity or obligated person, (b) Regions is not acting as an advisor to any municipal entity or obligated person and does not owe a fiduciary duty pursuant to Section 15B of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 to any municipal entity or obligated person with respect to such presentation, information, materials or communications, (c) Regions is acting for its own interests, and (d) you should discuss this presentation and any such other information, materials or communications with any and all internal and external advisors and experts that you deem appropriate before acting on this presentation or any such other information, materials or communications.

Bloomberg Index Services Limited. BLOOMBERG is a trademark and service mark of Bloomberg Finance L.P. and its affiliates (collectively "Bloomberg"). Bloomberg or Bloomberg's licensors own all proprietary rights in the Bloomberg Indices. Bloomberg does not approve or endorse this material or guarantee the accuracy or completeness of any information herein, nor does Bloomberg make any warranty, express or implied, as to the results to be obtained therefrom, and, to the maximum extent allowed by law, Bloomberg shall not have any liability or responsibility for injury or damages arising in connection therewith.